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SUBJECT: Transmittal of Technical Report D-77-23, Appendix B

TO: All Report Recipients

1. The technical report transmitted herewith represents the results of one of a series of research efforts (work units) undertaken as part of Task 4A (Marsh Development) of the Corps of Engineers' Dredged Material Research Program (DMRP). Task 4A is part of the Habitat Development Project (HDP) and has as its objective the development and testing of the environmental and economic feasibility of using dredged material as a substrate for marsh development.

2. Marsh development using dredged material has been investigated by the HDP under both laboratory and field conditions. The study reported herein (Work Unit 4AllJ) was an integral part of a series of research contracts jointly developed to achieve Task 4A objectives at the Windmill Point Marsh Development Site, James River, Virginia, one of eight marsh establishment sites located in several geographic regions of the United States. Interpretations of this report's findings and recommendations are best made in context with the other reports in the Windmill Point site series (4AllA-M).

3. This report, "Appendix B: Propagation of Vascular Plants," is one of six contractor-prepared appendices published relative to Waterways Experiment Station's Technical Report D-77-23, entitled "Habitat Development Field Investigations, Windmill Point Marsh Development Site, James River, Virginia; Summary Report" (4AllM). The appendices to the summary report involve studies that provide technical background and supporting data and may or may not represent discrete research products. Appendices that are largely data tabulations or that clearly have only site-specific relevance are published as microfiche; those with more general application are published as printed reports.

4. The purpose of Work Unit 4AllJ was to establish vegetation on the dikes and unconfined portions of the man-made marsh island complex at Windmill Point. Planting techniques and elevation and fertilizer effects
were tested for several species of plants. Within one year of planting, virtually all of the intertidal plantings had been destroyed by animal depredation or erosion. The upland plantings were severely impacted by invasion of natural vegetation.

2. Data from this report will be included in the Windmill Point summary report and synthesized in technical reports entitled "Upland and Wetland Habitat Development with Dredged Material: Ecological Considerations" (2A08) and "Wetland Habitat Development with Dredged Material: Engineering and Plant Propagation" (4A22).
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CONVERSION FACTORS, U.S. CUSTOMARY TO METRIC (SI)

UNITS OF MEASUREMENT

U.S. customary units of measurement used in this report can be converted to metric (SI) units as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Multiply</th>
<th>by</th>
<th>To Obtain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>inches</td>
<td>0.0254</td>
<td>metres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>feet</td>
<td>0.3048</td>
<td>metres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miles (U.S. statute)</td>
<td>1.609344</td>
<td>kilometres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>acres</td>
<td>4046.856</td>
<td>square metres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ounces (U.S. fluid)</td>
<td>29.57353</td>
<td>cubic centimetres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pounds (mass)</td>
<td>0.45359237</td>
<td>kilograms</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
INTRODUCTION

In conjunction with the Norfolk District Corps of Engineers maintenance dredging of the Jordan Point, Va. Navigation channel, the Waterways Experiment Station (WES) designed and implemented the James River Habitat Development Site (hereafter referred to as the Site) at approximately River Mile 58 and just east of Windmill Point, Prince George County, Virginia (Fig. 1). The project was monitored by the Environmental Laboratory at WES under the Habitat Development Research Project of the Dredged Material Research Program.

The Site consists of a sand and gravel diked island and contained intertidal and upland fine-grained dredged materials. Contiguous to the east end of the dike is an area of unconfined fine-grained dredged materials. The Site is located in a freshwater region of the James River where the mean semi-diurnal tidal amplitude is 2.5 feet. Development of the Site was accomplished between 12 January and 13 February, 1975. Figure 2 provides a schematic representation of the Site.

Overall Site objectives of an interdisciplinary research program include:

1. Determine which species of plants invade undisturbed

* A table of factors for converting U.S. customary units of measurement to metric (SI) units is presented on page 23.
Figure 1. Vicinity Map, James River Habitat Development Site, James River, Virginia
Figure 2. A schematic representation of the James River Habitat Development Site, James River, Virginia. Both confined and unconfined dredged material areas are shown.

ONE INCH IS APPROXIMATELY 400 FEET.
areas composed of dredged materials.

2. Relate the productivity of various plant species propagated on dredged materials to the varying chemical and physical properties of the location.

3. Relate avian patterns of use and activities to the physical characteristics of the plant community.

The specific objectives of the contracted work described herein are a combination of landscaping of the dike and pragmatic research and include:

1. Dike Landscaping

   a. Remove the plastic that was used to stabilize a berm constructed on the north and west sides of the dike. Stockpile the wood and debris deposited throughout the exterior and interior of the dike. Burn the stockpiled wood and plastic. Grade the dike to suitable slopes (ca. 10:1) for vegetative establishment.

   b. Seed approximately one acre of intertidal sand flat at the exterior northeast side of the dike (see Fig. 2) with a mixture of *Spartina alterniflora* (Cordgrass), *Spartina cynosuroides*
(Big Cordgrass), *Scirpus robustus* (Saltmarsh Bulrush), and *Peltandra virginica* (Arrow Arum).

c. Transplant *Scirpus americanus* (Common Threesquare) and *S. alterniflora* throughout upper half of the intertidal zone of the exterior of the dike.

d. Seed the dike interior and an upland area of ca. 0.3 acre located at the northeast corner of the confined dredged materials (see Fig. 2) with a mixture of *Festuca elatior* var. *Arundinacea* (Tall Fescue), *Dactylis glomerata* (Orchard Grass), and *Trifolium repens* (Ladina White Clover). Seed the dike exterior from the highest elevation seaward down to MHW with a mixture of *Panicum virgatum* (Switchgrass) and *Panicum amarulum* (Coastal Panic Grass).

2. Construct eight blocks of sixteen plots of seeded, sprigged, fertilized, or unfertilized *P. virginica*, *S. cynosuroides*, *S. robustus*, and *S. alterniflora* on the unconfined dredged materials contiguous to the exterior east end of the dike (see Fig. 2).

3. Test germinate the seeds at various planting depths
of all plant species utilized at the Site in sediments of various moisture contents that represent the range of those encountered while performing the work in items 1 and 2 above.

The purpose of the dike landscaping (item 1 above) was to aesthetically enhance the Site, to biologically stabilize the coarse-grained sediments of the dike, and to provide habitat diversity at the Site. Construction of the blocks of plots on the unconfined dredged materials (item 2 above) was pursued as an alternative to similar work originally planned for the confined dredged materials after discovering that the latter materials became naturally colonized by a high density and diversity of aquatic and upland plant species by June 1975 following the construction of the Site (see Figs. 3-6). The purpose of this work was to determine the optimum elevations and the need for fertilization for the successful propagation of the selected marsh plant species through seeding and sprigging the unconfined dredged materials represented at the Site. The purpose of item 3 above was to test the viability of the seeds used and to determine whether the depth of planting the seed species utilized at the Site and the soil moisture contents, particularly of the supratidal dike sediments, are limiting to seed germination.

All work at the Site that is reported herein was conducted during the period of 20 June 1975 through 29 September 1975.
Figure 3. Looking west from the southeast end of the dike at the Site on 18 June 1975.
Figure 4. Looking northwest across the contained dredged materials at the Site on 18 June 1975.
Figure 5. Marsh plant seedlings that naturally volunteered the contained dredged materials at the Site on 18 June 1975.
Figure 6. Volunteer seedling of P. cordata growing on the contained dredged materials at the Site on 18 June 1975.
Photographic documentation of all such work was made during this period. Additional photographs of the results of the field work were taken on 16 October 1975 and on 30 September 1976. Many of these photographs are included in this report.

DESCRIPTION OF WORK AND DISCUSSION OF RESULTS

1. Dike Landscaping

Upon arrival at the Site on 18 June 1975, extensive quantities of driftwood and debris were found deposited about the exposed north and west side of the dike (see Fig. 7), plastic that had been sandbagged down over a constructed berm on the north and west sides of the dike was found both buried and strewn throughout the dike (see Fig. 8), and the constructed berm on the dike was found either severely eroding (see Fig. 9) or too steep (see Figs. 10-12) to plant directly. Prior to grading the dike to suitable slopes (10 or greater:1) for planting, the plastic, driftwood, and debris were collected, stockpiled, and burned (see Fig. 13).

a. Dike Grading. Grading of the dike was accomplished with a low ground pressure vehicle equipped with a hydraulic lift plow (see Figs. 14 and 15). Although the grading process was slow, it eliminated the risk of having a
Figure 7. Looking east on 22 June 1975 along the north side of the dike at the steep berm and at the deposits of driftwood, debris, and plastic.
Figure 8. Plastic strewn about the dike on 22 June 1975.
Figure 9. A steep, eroding section of the berm at the northeast end of the dike on 22 June 1975.
Figure 10. A section of steep berm at the northwest end of the dike on 22 June 1975.
Figure 11. A section of steep berm at the southwest corner of the dike on 22 June 1975.
Figure 12. The steep berm along the west side of the dike on 22 June 1975.
Figure 13. Burning of stockpiled plastic, driftwood, and debris at the Site.
Figure 14. Grading operation using a low ground pressure vehicle.
Figure 15. Grading operation with a low ground pressure vehicle.
heavier machine push sections of the dike into the soft underlying sediments and disrupt the dike's integrity.

Figure 16 shows a graded and ungraded section of the south side of the dike. Figures 17 and 18 show the north side of the dike before and after grading, respectively. All sections of the graded dike, with exception of the high elevation east side, were overtopped by spring tides (ca. 1-1.5 ft above MHW) approximately eight times per month.

b. Seeding the Intertidal Sand Flat. On 22 July 1975, approximately 0.5 acre of intertidal sand flat at the northeast end of the dike exterior (see Fig. 2) was fertilized with 150 lb of OSMOCOTE 3- to 4-month release 19-6-12 fertilizer and seeded with a mixture of S. alterniflora, S. cynosuroides, S. robustus, and P. virginica at approximate rates of 10, 3, 1, and 0.2 seeds per square foot.

The fertilizer and seed mixture was hand broadcasted on the sediment surface from the approximate Mean (mid) tide elevation to MHW and then extended to subsurface depths of 0-3 inches utilizing a low ground pressure all terrain vehicle equipped with a tool bar with cultivator attachment (see Figs. 19 and 20). Following cultivation, the sediment surface was smoothed using a wood platform drag.
Figure 16. Graded and ungraded section of the south side of the dike.
Figure 17. The north side of the dike looking west, before grading.
Figure 18. The north side of the dike looking west, after grading.
Figure 19. Seeding the intertidal sand flat at the northeast end of the dike on 22 July 1975.
Figure 20. Seeding the intertidal sand flat at the northeast end of the dike on 22 July 1975.
By 26 July, *S. alterniflora* and *P. virginica* seedlings were observed throughout the entire seeded area. At this time noticeable quantities of seeds of all four species had washed out and were being deposited in the area of the blocks of plots just southeast of the seeded area (see Fig. 2). On 29 August only the uppermost elevations of the seeded area (ca. 20% of total area) had any seedlings developing (see Fig. 21 and Fig. 20). These seedlings were dominated by *S. alterniflora* with minor numbers of *S. cynosuroides* at the highest elevations and *P. virginica* throughout. By 16 October essentially all of these plants had been dug out by Canada geese (see Figs. 22 and 23) leaving the area covered with potholes (see Fig. 24) and strewn with excavated plant parts at the highest elevations (see Fig. 25).

Aside from the geese depredation, the intertidal sand flat is in a location that is too exposed to the wakes of large commercial boat traffic for successful intertidal plant establishment by seeding. Except for the elevation about MHW, the majority of seedlings had been washed out or had suffered mortalities from wave stress by 29 August before large numbers of Canada geese resided near the Site.

c. Transplanting the Exterior of the Dike. The exterior of the dike from the Mean Tide elevation (+1.3 ft relative to MLW) up to MHW (+2.5 ft relative to MLW) was
Figure 21. Seeded area of the intertidal sand flat at the northeast end of the dike on 29 August 1975.
Figure 22. Canada goose excavation site of seedlings developing on the intertidal sand flat at the northeast end of the dike on 16 October 1975.
Figure 23. Canada geese excavations of seedlings developing on the intertidal sand flat at the northeast end of the dike on 16 October 1975.
Figure 24. Depredated intertidal sand flat at the northeast end of the dike on 16 October 1975.
Figure 25. Excavated plant parts deposited along the highest elevations of the intertidal sand flat at the northeast end of the dike on 16 October 1975.
transplanted to 3- to 4-inch peat-potted S. alterniflora stock and bare root S. americanus (see Fig. 26), excavated nearby the Site, in alternate rows and on 18 inch centers. Transplanting began on 3 July and all but a small area left for removing equipment was planted by 10 July. The width of the transplanted area varied from 10 to 15 feet throughout the approximately 3,600 linear feet of dike.

Although S. alterniflora is not generally found in tidal freshwater areas, it grows well in freshwater but is gradually outcompeted by indigenous aquatic plants. Because of its ready establishment on relatively high wave energy shores, its rapid lateral spread, and its high sediment stabilizing characteristics, S. alterniflora has a potential for use in freshwater areas to provide a rapid ground cover of the intertidal zone and a trap and a shelter for propagules of other aquatic plants. For these reasons S. alterniflora was selected as one of the two species to transplant on the exterior of the dike. Scirpus americanus was selected as the second species because of its abilities to grow satisfactorily on relatively high wave energy sandy freshwater shores.

Transplanting was accomplished by machine augering a hole ca. 4 inches deep, placing 20 grams (ca. 1/2 fluid ounce) of OSMOCOTE 3- to 4-month release 19-6-12 fertilizer in the hole, and then planting the peat-potted S. alterniflora or group of
Figure 26. Transplanting *S. alterniflora* and *S. americanus* to the exterior intertidal area of the south side of the dike on 2 July 1975.
four stems of bare root *S. americanus*. The approximately 5% of transplants that washed out after planting were replanted before departing the Site on 31 July. No transplant mortalities were observed other than those derived from animal depredation.

The only naturally occurring *S. americanus* within six miles up-or downriver from the Site was a monotypic stand in sand and peaty substrates located on the south shore approximately two miles upriver from the Site. Transplant material was excavated from this site from 20 June through 9 July. Excavations were conducted at high tide to facilitate washing away the attached substrate (see Fig. 27). Single stems or groups of up to four stems were separated from the washed out clumps of plants or cut from the rhizome sections (see Figs. 28 and 29). Dead (dark brown) root parts were cut away, the top half of the mature (flowering) plants were clipped to provide ca. 18-inch tall transplants, and the plant materials stored in tubs of water until transplanting (see Fig. 30).

Trial transplantings of naturally occurring *Acorus calamus* (Sweetflag), *Scirpus validus* (Softstem Bulrush), and *Decodon verticillatus* (Water Willow) were made along the external north, south, and east sides of the dike about midway in the intertidal transplanted zone. Transplanting was accomplished as described for *S. americanus* and *S. alterniflora*. 
Figure 27. Excavating *S. americanus* near the site on the James River.
Figure 28. Cutting apart excavated *S. americanus* to provide transplant material.
Figure 29. Single stem transplant of *S. americanus*. 
Figure 30. Storing of *S. americanus* transplant materials.
Although all bare root transplants survived and grew, Water Willow showed the most promise for ready establishment and rapid stabilization of sand and gravel intertidal sediments on relatively high wave energy freshwater shores. Figure 31 shows a *D. verticillatus* transplant on 20 July two weeks after planting and Figures 32 and 33 show partial rows of *D. verticillatus* transplants (arrow) on the south and west sides of the dike, respectively, on 16 October over three months after transplanting.

### d. Seeding of the Dike and the Contained Upland Dredged Materials Area

The dike and the contained upland dredged materials area at the northeast corner of the dike (see Fig. 2) were seeded during 24 July through 30 July. The seeds, fertilizer, and amounts of both that were used on the four sides of the dike are summarized in Table 1.

The dike interior (from the highest elevation inward) and the upland area of ca. 0.3 acre were seeded to *T. repens*, *D. glomerata*, and *F. elatior*. The dike exterior (from the highest elevation seaward down to MHW) was seeded to *P. virgatum* and *P. amarulum*. The seeds were surface broadcasted (separately by species) using a 'Cyclone' rotary spreader pulled by an all terrain vehicle. Settings on the spreader were selected so that broadcasting of the seed was completed in three round-trip passes. The fertilizer was similarly
Figure 31. Transplant of *D. verticillatus* two weeks after planting.
Figure 32. Partial row (arrow) of *D. verticillatus* transplants on the south side of the dike, over three months after planting.
Figure 33. Partial row (arrow) of *D. verticillatus* transplants on the west side of the dike, over three months after planting.
Table 1. Summary of seeds, fertilizer, and respective amounts used in seeding the dike and the contained upland dredged materials area at the Site.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Seed</th>
<th>South Side</th>
<th>West Side</th>
<th>East Side</th>
<th>North Side of Dike of Dike</th>
<th>North Side of Dike of Dike</th>
<th>North Side of Dike of Dike</th>
<th>North Side of Dike of Dike</th>
<th>North Side of Dike of Dike</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P. virgatum (var. Blackwell)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. amarulum</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. repens*</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. glomerata</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>37</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. elatior (var. Arundinacea)</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>37</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fertilizer (10-10-10)*</td>
<td></td>
<td>240</td>
<td>368</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a. Seeds were inoculated with 'NITRAGIN' using the slurry method (4.5 fluid ounce of inoculant, 6 ounce of water, 15 lb of seed. B. Southern States formulation.)
broadcasted. The seed and fertilizer then were cultivated to subsurface depths of 0 - 1 inches utilizing a low ground pressure all terrain vehicle equipped with a tool bar with cultivator attachment. Following cultivation, the sediment surface was smoothed using a wood platform drag. The spreader broadcasted seed and fertilizer over a width of approximately 10 feet. Consequently, there was considerable overlap of the dike exterior and dike interior seed mixtures.

The east end of the dike was seeded on 24 July. Following seeding, 1/4 inch of rain fell. The south side of the dike was seeded on 25 July, and 1/2 inch of rain fell following seeding. The balance of the areas were seeded between 27 and 30 July. Little rain fell in the area between this time and 27 September when tropical storm Eloise passed inland through Virginia causing widespread flooding (pers. comm. with local residents). Prior to departing the Site on 31 July, it was noted that seeds were germinating in abundance throughout the east and south sides of the dike.

The interior and exterior portions of the dike that were seeded and fertilized during 24 - 30 July were partially fertilized again on 30 September 1975 using Southern States medium release 10-10-10 fertilizer. The fertilization scheme used was established by WES. Starting at the southeast corner of the dike and proceeding west, then north, then east, and
finally south around the dike, alternate 150 foot and 50 foot sections of the dike were established as shown in Figure 34. Forty pounds of fertilizer was applied to each 150 foot section using a hand operated 'Cyclone' broadcaster. The rate of broadcasting was set so that three passes were required to apply the 40 lbs of fertilizer. This procedure provided relatively uniform coverage over each 150 foot section.

On 16 October 1975, it was observed that most of the fertilized 150 foot sections of vegetation were clearly delineated by having greater chlorophyll pigmentation than the vegetation in the contiguous unfertilized 50 foot sections.

e. Overview of Dike Transplanting and Dike and Upland Area Seeding. Figures 35, 36, 37, and 38 show views of the east end of the dike looking north before grading on 2 July 1975, after grading and seeding on 31 July 1975, on 16 October 1975, and on 30 September 1976, respectively. By 30 September 1976 this area of the dike was dominated by P. amarulum and P. virgatum. Figures 39, 40, and 41 provide views at the east end of the dike looking south after seeding on 31 July 1975, on 16 October 1975, and on 30 September 1976, respectively. By the latter date, this area was dominated by P. amarulum and P. virgatum.

Figures 42, 43, 44. Views from the northeast
Figure 34. A schematic representation of the dike showing alternate 150 foot and 50 foot sections. The entire dike area was fertilized upon seeding during 24-30 July. Fertilizer was again applied to the 150 foot sections on 30 September.
Figure 35. East end of the dike looking north before grading on 2 July 1975.
Figure 36. East end of the dike looking north after grading and seeding on 31 July 1975.
Figure 37. East end of the dike looking north on 16 October 1975.
Figure 38. East end of the dike looking north on 30 September 1976.
Figure 39. East end of the dike looking south after seeding on 31 July 1975.
Figure 40. East end of the dike looking south on 16 October 1975.
Figure 41. East end of the dike looking south on 30 September 1976.
Figure 42. Northeast corner of the dike looking west along the north side during the grading operation on 24 June 1975.
Figure 43. Northeast corner of the dike looking west along the north side on 31 July 1975 just following seeding.
Figure 44. Northeast corner of the dike looking west along the north side on 16 October 1975.
Figure 45. Northeast corner of the dike looking west along the north side on 30 September 1976.
corner of the dike looking west along the north side on 24 June 1975 during the grading operation, on 31 July 1975 just following the seeding, on 16 October 1975, and on 30 September 1976, respectively. Figures 46 and 47 show the contained upland dredged material area at the northeast corner of the dike on 29 August 1975, one month after seeding, and on 16 October 1975, respectively.

Figures 48, 49, and 50 show views at the mid-north side of the dike looking west along the fringe marsh on 31 July 1975, 16 October 1975, and 30 September 1976, respectively. The band of *S. alterniflora* and *S. americanus* shown in these figures is the only significant fringe marsh remaining on 30 September 1976 at the Site. Extensive Canada geese "eat-out" during the winter of 1975 led to the loss of most of the balance of the fringe marsh along the dike exterior.

Views at the mid-north side of the dike looking east along the seeded portion on 29 August 1975, on 16 October 1975, and on 30 September 1976 are provided in Figures 51, 52, and 53, respectively. Note the sections of *P. virginica* marsh deposited on the highest elevations of the dike in the foreground and background of Fig. 51. Eroded sections of *P. virginica* marsh were frequently observed floating downriver (see Fig. 54). Seeds and seedlings of *P. virginica* also were noted floating downriver and many of these were deposited throughout the Site. The view in Figure 53 is dominated by *P. amarulum* and tall
Figure 46. Upland dredged material area at the northeast corner of the dike on 29 August 1975, one month after seeded.
Figure 47. Upland dredged material area at the northeast corner of the dike on 16 October 1975.
Figure 48. Mid-north side of the dike looking west along the fringe marsh on 31 July 1975.
Figure 49. Mid-north side of the dike looking west along the fringe marsh on 16 October 1975.
Figure 50. Mid-north side of the dike looking west along the fringe marsh on 30 September 1976.
Figure 51. Mid-north side of the dike looking east along the seeded area on 29 August 1975.
Figure 52. Mid-north side of the dike looking east along the seeded area on 16 October 1975.
Figure 53. Mid-north side of the dike looking east along the seeded area on 30 September 1976.
Figure 54. Eroded section of *P. virginica* marsh drifting down the James River just north of the Site on 6 July 1975.
clumps of *P. virgatum* at lower left.

Views of the west end of the dike from the northwest corner looking south on 31 July 1975, on 16 October 1975, and on 30 September 1976 are shown in Figures 55, 56, and 57, respectively. The vegetation seen in Figure 57 is dominated by *P. amarulum*. The volunteer marsh on the contained dredged materials, as viewed on 31 July 1975 from the mid-west end of the dike looking east, is shown in Figure 58. The person in this figure is 5 feet 4 inches tall and the dominant vegetation seen is *P. cordata*.

The marsh fringe along the exterior southern side of the dike, as seen on 31 July 1975, 16 October 1975, and 30 September 1976 from the south looking east, is shown in Figures 59, 60, and 61, respectively. Approximately 80% of the marsh, as seen in Figure 60, was "eaten-out" during the winter of 1976 and is missing in Figure 61.

Views of the seeded portion of the dike looking east from the mid-south side on 31 July 1975, on 16 October 1975, and on 30 September 1976 are shown in Figures 62, 63, and 64, respectively. The dominant vegetation seen in Figure 64 is *P. amarulum*. Views looking west from the mid-south side of the dike on 29 August 1975, on 16 October 1975, and on 30 September 1976 are provided in Figures 65, 66, and 67, respectively. Note the complete loss of the fringe marsh on the dike exterior.
Figure 55. Northwest corner of the dike looking south along the west side on 31 July 1975.
Figure 56. Northwest corner of the dike looking south along the west side on 16 October 1975.
Figure 57. Northwest corner of the dike looking south along the west side on 30 September 1976.
Figure 58. Looking east on 31 July 1975 from the mid-west end of the dike across the volunteer marsh on the contained dredged materials.
Figure 59. Looking east at the fringe marsh along the exterior southern side of the dike from the southwest corner on 31 July 1975.
Figure 60. Looking east at the fringe marsh along the exterior southern side of the dike from the southwest corner on 16 October 1975.
Figure 61. Looking east at the fringe marsh along the exterior southern side of the dike from the southwest corner on 30 September 1976.
Figure 62. Looking east from the mid-south side of the dike on 31 July 1975.
Figure 63. Looking east from the mid-south side of the dike on 16 October 1975.
Figure 64. Looking east from the mid-south side of the dike on 30 September 1976.
Figure 65. Looking west from the mid-south side of the dike on 29 August 1975.
Figure 66. Looking west from the mid-south side of the dike on 16 October 1975.
Figure 67. Looking west from the mid-south side of the dike on 30 September 1976.
in the foreground of Figure 67.

Portions of the south and north dike interiors that were seeded to *T. repens*, *D. glomerata*, and *F. elatior* and that were essentially monotypic *T. repens* on 16 October 1975 are shown in Figures 68 and 69, respectively. A greater diversity of plants were present in these areas on 30 September 1976.

2. Blocks of Plots on Unconfined Dredged Materials

Eight replicated blocks of 16 plots of seeded and sprigged marsh plants were established on the unconfined dredged materials just east of the northeast side of the dike (see Fig. 2). The physical and statistical design of these blocks of plots were provided by WES and are indicated in Figures 70 and 71. Each 10' X 10' plot contains 49 replicated treatments on 1.25 foot centers. The block of plots were staked out on July 12th and 13th from the approximate Mean Tide (+1.3 ft relative to MLW) at the eastern ends of the blocks to approximately MHW (+2.5 ft relative to MLW) at the western ends. Mean Tide and MHW elevations throughout the area of the blocks of plots were qualitatively determined by placing stakes at the water-land interface at the time predicted (tide tables) for high tide at Jordan Point (ca. 9 miles upriver from the Site) and at 3 hrs after this time (for approx Mean Tide) on a day when high tide was predicted to be at MHW.
Figure 68. A section of the interior south side of the dike that is dominated by *T. repens* on 16 October 1975.
Figure 69. A section of the interior north side of the dike that is dominated by *I. repens* on 16 October 1975.
Figure 70. A schematic representation of the physical design of the blocks of plots.
A schematic representation of the statistical design of the blocks of plots. Parenthetical numbers represent those placed twice on each of the two PVC plot identification stakes (see Fig. 70). $X_1$ = sprigging, $X_2$ = seeding, $S_1$ = P. virginica, $S_2$ = S. cynosuroides, $S_3$ = $S_5$ = S. robustus, $S_4$ = S. alterniflora. Blocks 5, 6, 7, and 8 are identical to blocks 1, 2, 3, 4, respectively, and continue sequentially in a southerly direction. The PVC plot identification stake numbers for these plots follow the scheme shown for Blocks 1-4.
Because of the contour of the unconfined dredged material area, it was not possible to establish the blocks so that substrate elevations were replicated in each. Additionally, the substrate composition varied in the area of the blocks of plots from coarse-grained (83% sand, 9% mud) throughout the westernmost plots of blocks 1-4 to finer grained (56% sand, 43% mud) throughout the east half of blocks 1-4 and throughout blocks 5-8.

a. Quantitative Elevation Determinations. On 28 July, elevations were determined at 5-foot intervals along nine transects paralleling the eight blocks of plots. Each transect was 150 feet long and extended seaward from and perpendicular to a baseline along the east side of the dike previously established by a survey team of the Norfolk District Corps of Engineers.

Elevations were read using an 18-inch Dumpy level and a level rod graduated in 0.01 ft. Elevations were made relative to the Corps of Engineers MLW (CE MLW) given as zero on the tide staff present at the Site. Personal communication with Mr. Van of the Norfolk District revealed that MLW at the Site is 1.5 feet above the CE MLW. Elevations of the Corps of Engineers benchmarks BM-1 (NE corner of the dike) and BM-2 (SE corner of the dike) were determined to be 5.03 ft and 4.31 ft, respectively, relative to CE MLW. These elevations
are slightly lower than those supplied by the Norfolk District (BM-1, 5.27 ft; BM-2, 4.38 ft). Figure 72 shows the 0.5 ft elevation contours relative to CE MLW throughout the blocks of plots. To convert these elevation contours to those relative to true MLW as zero, subtract 1.3 ft.

b. Plant Materials. Because of their small size, seeds of *S. robustus*, *S. alterniflora*, and *S. cynosuroides* were packaged (10 seeds per packet) in the laboratory. The large size of *P. virginica* seed made pre-packaging unnecessary.

With the exception of *P. virginica*, the seeds were removed from aqueous storage at 4°C, subjected to partial air drying at ambient temperature, and packaged (49 packets of seeds each for each plot to be seeded). All seeds were maintained in cold storage (3-5°C) until planted.

Seedlings of *S. robustus*, *S. alterniflora*, and *P. virginica* were greenhouse cultivated from seed at Environmental Concern, St. Michaels, Md. After being cultivated for approximately three months, the seedlings were washed free of soil and the resulting bare root materials (i.e., sprigs) were stored in tubs with the roots submerged in fresh water until planted. The *S. cynosuroides* sprig stock consisted of peat-potted seedlings that had been cultivated during the summer of 1974 and overwintered at Environmental Concern.
Figure 72. Elevation contours (0.5 ft) relative to CE MLW as zero throughout the blocks of plots.
Prior to transplanting to the blocks of plots, ten randomly selected transplants of each species were separated into aboveground and belowground portions and dried to constant weight at 80°C. The resulting dry weights are collected in Table 2.

Figures 73-76 show photographs of the four species of plant stock prior to transplanting to the blocks of plots.

c. Planting. Each plot was replicate planted 49 times on 1.25 ft centers. A portable frame was used which marked the location of each of the 49 plant sites within each plot. When fertilizer was required by the adopted fertilization scheme (see Fig. 71), 5.0 g of OSMOCOTE 7- to 8-month release 19-6-12 fertilizer was side-dressed with the seeds or sprigs. All the blocks of plots were planted between 14 July and 23 July.

Seeds were planted by making a 0.5 to 1.0 inch depression in the sediment. Seeds and fertilizer, when required, were placed in the depression and then covered with sediment. With the exception of P. virginica for which three seeds were planted at each seed site, 10 seeds were planted at each of the 40 seed sites in each seeded plot.

The peat-potted S. cynosuroides were transplanted using a shovel. The bare root plant stock (sprigs) were planted by
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Aboveground</th>
<th>Belowground</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Peltandra virginica</em></td>
<td>0.53 (0.11)</td>
<td>0.75 (0.20)</td>
<td>1.28 (0.28)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Scirpus robustus</em></td>
<td>0.04 (0.02)</td>
<td>0.03 (0.01)</td>
<td>0.07 (0.02)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Spartina alterniflora</em></td>
<td>0.27 (0.17)</td>
<td>0.28 (0.13)</td>
<td>0.55 (0.21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Spartina cynosuroides</em></td>
<td>7.78 (3.57)</td>
<td>10.37 (4.59)</td>
<td>18.15 (8.14)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a. Tabulated values are the mean weights (in grams) of 10 transplants. Parenthetical values are standard deviations.
Figure 73. Representative specimens of *S. alterniflora* transplanted to the plots.
Figure 74. Representative specimens of *P. virginica* transplanted to the blocks of plots.
Figure 75. Representative specimens of *S. robustus* transplanted to the blocks of plots.
Figure 76. Representative specimens of *S. cynosuroides* transplanted to the blocks of plots.
opening a hole in the sediment using a dibble. One pot or one sprig was planted at each plant site. All transplants that disappeared, or that were damaged by waves or animals, or that otherwise suffered mortalities between the period of 14 July and 31 July were replanted prior to departing the Site on 31 July. The number of replants so required were 107 *P. virginica*, 146 *S. robustus* and *S. alterniflora*. Blocks 1-4 received 98 replants and blocks 5-8 received 168 replants.

d. Initial Results. An overview of the eight blocks of plots after staking out and planting, is shown in Figure 77. By 31 July, seeds of *P. virginica* and *S. alterniflora* had germinated in all of the seeded plots. Seed germination of *S. robustus* and *S. cynosuroides* was never observed by Environmental Concern personnel.

On 29 August, extensive animal damage was observed throughout all of the eight blocks, particularly at the lower elevations. Aboveground parts of *P. virginica* had been clipped and were lying about the plots (see Fig. 78). *S. robustus* transplants were being disturbed (see Fig. 79). *S. alterniflora* transplants were being excavated (see Fig. 80 and note Canada goose and shorebird tracks at lower right), excavations had occurred at the base of each *S. cynosuroides* transplant (see Fig. 81), and the higher elevations of the blocks had been colonized by foreign vegetation (see Fig. 82). Figure
Figure 77. The eight blocks of plots as viewed from the northeast corner of the dike looking south.
Figure 78. Animal clipping of P. virginica in sprigged plots as seen on 29 August 1975.
Figure 79. Animal damage of *S. robustus* in sprigged plots as seen on 29 August 1975.
Figure 80. Animal excavations of *S. alterniflora* in sprigged plots as seen on 29 August 1975.
Figure 81. Animal excavations at the base of *S. cynosuroides* in sprigged plots as seen on 29 August 1975.
Figure 82. The uppermost elevations of the eight blocks of plots as viewed from the northeast corner of the dike looking south on 29 August 1975. Note extensive colonization of foreign plants.
83 shows the lowest elevations of the blocks with the fine-grained sediments extensively potholed and Figure 84 shows a view through the mid-elevation region of the blocks.

On 16 October, the damage was more extensive and no plots were unaffected. Figure 83 through 89 show the extent of the damage. Note the extensive shorebird tracks shown in Figure 88. By 30 September 1976, one year later, only one plot of *S. alterniflora* transplants (plot 113) and one plot of *S. cynosuroides* transplants (plot 24) out of the total of 128 plots had any planted vegetation visible (see Figs. 90 and 91).

It is thought that shorebirds were responsible for the extensive clipping of the aboveground parts of the plants. Fish may have been responsible for the shallow symmetrical excavations at the lower elevations as shown in Figures 80 and 81. The deeper and more extensive excavations shown in Figures 80 and 86 through 89 may have been caused by Canada geese, which were on and about the Site in large numbers by September. Goose and duck footprints and droppings were abundant throughout the blocks of plots and plant excavation sites were literally covered by shorebird tracks on 16 October.

3. Test Germinations of Seed Used at the Site

Specimens of all seeds that were used in seeding the
Figure 83. The lowermost elevations of the eight blocks of plots as viewed from the northeast corner of the dike looking south on 29 August 1975. Note extensive potholes throughout.
Figure 84. The mid-elevations of the eight blocks of plots as viewed from the northeast corner of the dike looking south on 29 August 1975.
Figure 85. The barren lowermost and mid-elevations of the eight blocks of plots as viewed from the northeast corner of the dike looking south on 16 October 1975.
Figure 86. Plant excavations in the plots as seen on 16 October 1975.
Figure 87. Plant excavations in the plots as seen on 16 October 1975.
Figure 88. Plant excavations in the plots as seen on 16 October 1975.
Figure 89. Plant excavations in the plots as seen on 16 October 1975.
Figure 90. *S. alterniflora* in sprigged plot 113 as seen on 30 September 1976. This is one of the two plots where planted vegetation remained.
Figure 91. *S. cynosuroides* in sprigged plot 24 as seen on 30 September. This is one of the two plots where planted vegetation remained.
intertidal sand flat at the northeast end of the dike exterior, the exterior and interior portions of the dike, and the blocks of plots were retained for incubator germination tests after completing all work at the Site. The purpose of these tests was to determine the seed viability and to determine whether the depth of seed planting and the soil moisture content, particularly at the highest elevations of the dike, are limiting to seed germination. It was discussed earlier that droughty conditions prevailed at the Site during August and September and that the depth of seed planting in the plots was 0.5 to 1.0 inches and elsewhere ranged from 0 to 4 inches.

The sediment types represented throughout the seeded portions of the Site together with their physical and chemical properties are given in Table 3. The sand sediment type is representative of that present in the intertidal sand flat and in the westernmost eight plots of blocks -1 through -4. The mud sediment type is representative of that present throughout the balance of the blocks of plots. The dike sediment type is representative of that present throughout the seeded portions of the dike and in the western portions of the first two plots in blocks -1 through -4.

a. Experimental Design. Thirty (30) seeds of each species used at the Site was subjected to a two-week (14 day) germination test, with replications as described below.
Table 3. Physical and chemical characteristics of the sediments collected at the Site and used for germination tests.a

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Measured</th>
<th>Dike (%)</th>
<th>Sand (%)</th>
<th>Mud (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Percent Gravelb</td>
<td>7.9 (1.0)</td>
<td>1.0 (0.1)</td>
<td>0.1 (0.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent Sandb</td>
<td>9.1 (0.1)</td>
<td>22.6 (2.7)</td>
<td>43.4 (0.9)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent Mudb</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>22.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent Moisturec</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>22.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>phd</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnesium Contentd</td>
<td>medium</td>
<td>high</td>
<td>high</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phosphate (P₂O₅) Contentd</td>
<td>low</td>
<td>low</td>
<td>medium</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potash (K₂O) Contentd</td>
<td>very low</td>
<td>low</td>
<td>medium</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent Organic Matterd</td>
<td>0.1 (0.0)</td>
<td>0.3 (0.0)</td>
<td>1.1 (0.2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a. Parenthetical values denote standard deviations. b. Percent by weight. Gravel has a particle size greater than 2.00 mm, sand has a particle size between 0.625 mm and 2.00 mm and mud has a particle size less than 0.625 mm. c. Percent by weight at time of collection. d. These values were obtained from the Soil Testing Laboratory of the University of Maryland.
For the species seeded in the sand and mud sediment types (P. virginica, S. alterniflora, S. cynosuroides, and S. robustus), only the sediment moisture content at the time of sediment collection (see Table 3) was tested. These sediment types are present throughout the intertidal areas of the Site and their moisture contents are regulated by periodic tidal inundation. For these sediment types, germinations were replicated thrice, once for each planting depth of 0 mm, 6 mm, and 12 mm.

For the species seeded in the dike sediment type (those seeded in sand and mud in addition to F. elatior, D. glomerata, I. repens, P. amarulum, and P. virgatum), germinations were replicated thrice, once for each planting depth of 0 mm, 6 mm, and 16 mm, and replicated again thrice, once for each sediment moisture content of 5.0%, 2.2%, and 1.0%. The experimental design is summarized below.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Seeds</th>
<th>Sediment Type</th>
<th>Moisture (%)</th>
<th>Depth of Seeding (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>Dike</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(all species used at Site)</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>Sand</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(P. virginica, S. alterniflora, S. cynosuroides, S. robustus)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>Mud</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(P. virginica, S. alterniflora, S. cynosuroides, S. robustus)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Control tests on 30 seeds of each species were replicated thrice on moist paper towels: untreated seed, unrinsed Clorox treated seed, and rinsed Clorox treated seed.

b. Materials. Seeds were subsamples of the stock used in all seeding at the Site. Appendix A' provides the origins of these seed. Seeds of T. repens were provided as a mixture of two distinct color types (ca. 70% red and 30% yellow). These two were separately subjected to the germination tests. Sediment samples collected at the Site were used in the test germinations.

Germination tests were conducted in 2-1/4 inch deep white translucent plastic containers sealed with plastic lids. A Forma Scientific Model No. 22 incubator was used. It provided 300 lumens of light intensity the light photoperiod. For the control tests, seeds were placed on Scott 140 one-ply paper towels. Clorox (5.25% sodium hypochlorite) was used in two of the three control tests (see later).

c. Methods. The physical characteristics of the sediment types given in Table 3 were determined using standard mechanical sieve analyses for the sand and gravel fractions and hydrometer analyses for the mud (silt and clay) fractions. The moisture contents at the time of collection were determined from the loss in weight upon oven drying subsamples to
constant weight at 80°C. The chemical characteristics of the sediment types given in Table 3 were determined on subsamples by the Soil Testing Laboratory of the University of Maryland.

All sediments used in the germination tests were predried in the greenhouse followed by drying to constant weight under infrared heat lamps.

Plastic seed germination containers, with exception of the controls, were filled with 12 mm of the designated sediment type. Thirty (30) seeds of each species were placed in rows on the sediment surface. The seeds then were covered by the designated depth of sediment (average for the 0 mm depth). Tapwater then was misted over the sediment surface until the designated percentage moisture (by weight) was achieved. The containers then were sealed.

Control tests on 30 seeds of each species were conducted on double layered paper towels moistened with deionized water in sealed plastic containers. For the untreated seed tests, seeds were placed directly on the towels. In the unrinsed Clorox treated seed tests, seeds were stirred in 25% Clorox for 15 minutes and then placed directly on the towels. The rinsed Clorox treated seed tests were prepared as above, but the seeds were transferred to deionized water following the Clorox soak and then air-dried for 20 minutes before being
All germination tests were subjected to a light period of 14 hours at 35°C and 10 hours of dark at 18°C for a period of 14 days. Preparation of the germination containers was staggered timewise so that the containers wouldn't be stockpiled during work-up. Each container, however, was placed in and removed from the incubator 14 days later, at the same time of day.

Every three days each germination container was weighed to determine any weight loss due to water evaporation through the lid seals. If loss occurred, the trays were misted with water to maintain the original moisture content. Observed moisture losses ranged from 0% to 16% with the highest losses occurring in containers with the lowest moisture contents.

After 14 days of incubation, the containers were removed from the incubator and the germinated seeds counted. The emergence of either roots or shoots from the seed was considered germination. In subsurface sediment germination tests an attempt was made to locate every seed. The few seeds that were not located were assumed to be ungerminated for the purpose of statistical testing. The assumption is considered valid, as germinated seed are more voluminous and therefore more conspicuous than ungerminated ones.
Two-way chi-square tests were performed using the number of seeds of each species germinated to test for significant germination differences (1) in the three planting depths for a given sediment moisture content and (2) in the three dike sediment moisture contents for a given planting depth. Analysis of variance to test for a possible significant interaction between depth and moisture on germination was not conducted. Also, no statistical tests were conducted on germination versus sediment type.

d. Results and Discussion. Germination percentages for all of the test seed germination are summarized in Table 4. In this table, any two germination percentages for a given species or phenotype (e.g., I. repens) in the three planting depths for a given sediment moisture content that are assigned the same letter (A, B, or C) are not significantly different ($\alpha > 0.05$) while those assigned different letters (A, B, or C) are significantly different ($\alpha = 0.05$ or less). Additionally, in Table 4, any two germination percentages for a given species or phenotype (e.g., I. repens) in the three dike sediment moisture contents for a given planting depth that are assigned the same letter (D, E, or F) are not significantly different ($\alpha > 0.05$) while those assigned different letters (D, E, or F) are significantly different ($\alpha = 0.05$) or less). A single germination percentage assigned two letters of either the ABC or DEF group is not significantly different.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Feathertop</th>
<th>Scirpus</th>
<th>Sparganium</th>
<th>Sparganium</th>
<th>Typha</th>
<th>Deckelia</th>
<th>Paspalum</th>
<th>Paspalum</th>
<th>Trifolium</th>
<th>Trifolium</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>virginiana</td>
<td>robustus</td>
<td>alterniflora</td>
<td>supelcense</td>
<td>major</td>
<td>glomerata</td>
<td>epilobum</td>
<td>capillare</td>
<td>repens</td>
<td>repens</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dike Sediment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.5% moisture</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 mm</td>
<td>80.0 A D</td>
<td>76.7 A F</td>
<td>36.7 A D</td>
<td>43.3 A D</td>
<td>43.3 A E</td>
<td>93.3 A E</td>
<td>80.0 A E</td>
<td>63.3 A F</td>
<td>73.3 A F</td>
<td>73.3 A F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 mm</td>
<td>90.0 A D</td>
<td>76.7 A F</td>
<td>46.7 A D</td>
<td>50.0 A D</td>
<td>60.0 A B</td>
<td>76.7 A E</td>
<td>73.3 A F</td>
<td>63.3 A F</td>
<td>83.3 A F</td>
<td>73.3 A F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 mm</td>
<td>86.7 A D, E</td>
<td>83.3 A F</td>
<td>53.3 A D</td>
<td>6.7 B D</td>
<td>70.0 B F</td>
<td>70.0 B</td>
<td>76.7 A D</td>
<td>56.7 A D</td>
<td>70.0 A F</td>
<td>66.7 A F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5% moisture</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 mm</td>
<td>86.7 A D</td>
<td>20.0 A E</td>
<td>53.3 A D</td>
<td>33.3 A D</td>
<td>0.0 A D</td>
<td>0.0 A D</td>
<td>0.0 A D</td>
<td>0.0 A D</td>
<td>0.0 A D</td>
<td>0.0 A D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 mm</td>
<td>90.0 A D</td>
<td>46.7 B E</td>
<td>36.7 A D</td>
<td>56.7 A D</td>
<td>3.3 A B</td>
<td>3.3 A B</td>
<td>3.3 A B</td>
<td>3.3 A B</td>
<td>3.3 A B</td>
<td>3.3 A B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 mm</td>
<td>80.0 A D</td>
<td>23.3 A B, E</td>
<td>53.3 A D</td>
<td>50.0 A E</td>
<td>16.7 B E</td>
<td>53.3 B</td>
<td>0.0 A D</td>
<td>93.3 C</td>
<td>70.0 B D</td>
<td>36.7 A E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0% moisture</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 mm</td>
<td>76.7 A D</td>
<td>0.0 A D</td>
<td>33.3 A B, D</td>
<td>25.3 A D</td>
<td>0.0 A D</td>
<td>0.0 A D</td>
<td>0.0 A D</td>
<td>0.0 A D</td>
<td>0.0 A D</td>
<td>0.0 A D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 mm</td>
<td>73.3 A D</td>
<td>0.0 A D</td>
<td>46.7 B D</td>
<td>5.7 A E</td>
<td>6.7 A D</td>
<td>3.3 A D</td>
<td>0.0 A D</td>
<td>10.0 A D</td>
<td>3.3 A D</td>
<td>12.3 B D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 mm</td>
<td>96.7 B E</td>
<td>0.0 A D</td>
<td>13.3 A E</td>
<td>16.7 A D</td>
<td>0.0 A D</td>
<td>0.0 A D</td>
<td>0.0 A D</td>
<td>16.7 B E</td>
<td>16.7 A E</td>
<td>0.0 A D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sand Sediment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.4% moisture</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 mm</td>
<td>86.7 A</td>
<td>73.3 A</td>
<td>50.0 A</td>
<td>53.3 A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 mm</td>
<td>96.7 A</td>
<td>86.7 A</td>
<td>33.3 A</td>
<td>20.0 B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 mm</td>
<td>83.3 A</td>
<td>70.0 A</td>
<td>40.0 A</td>
<td>40.0 A, B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mud Sediment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22.0% moisture</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 mm</td>
<td>86.7 A</td>
<td>63.3 A</td>
<td>43.3 A</td>
<td>36.7 A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 mm</td>
<td>90.0 A</td>
<td>46.7 A</td>
<td>6.7 B</td>
<td>0.0 B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 mm</td>
<td>93.3 A</td>
<td>50.0 A</td>
<td>36.7 A</td>
<td>33.3 A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Controls,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paper Towels</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>untreated</td>
<td>100.0 A</td>
<td>60.0 A</td>
<td>50.0 B</td>
<td>70.0 A</td>
<td>90.0 B</td>
<td>70.0 A</td>
<td>73.3 A</td>
<td>70.0 A</td>
<td>83.3 A</td>
<td>40.0 A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlorox, rinsed</td>
<td>83.3 B</td>
<td>93.3 B</td>
<td>70.0 A</td>
<td>46.7 A, B</td>
<td>80.0 A, B</td>
<td>70.0 A</td>
<td>83.3 A, B</td>
<td>73.3 A</td>
<td>70.0 A</td>
<td>36.7 A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlorox, unrinsed</td>
<td>93.3 A, B</td>
<td>60.0 A</td>
<td>16.7 A</td>
<td>40.0 B</td>
<td>60.0 A</td>
<td>53.3 A</td>
<td>93.3 B</td>
<td>70.0 A</td>
<td>90.0 A</td>
<td>43.3 A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a. Based on the number germinated out of 30. To convert to number germinated use formula: No. germinated = (0.31)(percentage germination).

b. Letter designators A,B,C,D,E, and F that follow the tabulated percentages may be used to determine significant differences. See text for details.
from an appropriately compared germination percentage assigned either one of these two letters (e.g., 86.7% D, E is not significantly different from 80.0% D and 96.7% E while 96.7% E is significantly different from 80.0% D for P. virginica seeded at a depth of 12 mm in the three dike sediment moisture contents in Table 4).

The data summarized in Table 4 show that all of the species of seed generally germinated satisfactorily at some depth in all sediment types with the exception of the 1.0% moisture dike sediment. Germination was significantly better at the higher moisture contents of the dike sediment, with the exceptions of P. virginica, S. alterniflora at the 0 mm and 6 mm planting depths, and S. cynosuroides at the 0 mm planting depth. Of the seed tested, P. virginica is the only one that is encapsulated by a succulent fruit. Additionally, this seed and those of S. alterniflora and S. cynosuroides were the only ones stored in aqueous media. Consequently, the seeds of these species had acquired maximum moisture contents prior to the test germinations.

None of the seed of the marsh species (P. virginica, S. robustus, S. cynosuroides, S. alterniflora) showed any clear relationship between depth of planting and germination percentage. Seeds of F. elatior (at the 5.0% and 2.2% dike
sediment moisture contents), *P. amarulum* and *P. virgatum* (at the 2.2% and 1.0% dike sediment moisture contents) germinated significantly better at the lower depths of planting. *Trifolium repens*, the smallest seed of those tested, reflected no significant relationship between depth of planting and germination percentage.

Two-way chi-square tests showed no significant difference between the germination percentages of the yellow and red *T. repens* phenotypes in the dike sediments; however, in each control treatment the yellow phenotype germinated significantly better than did the red phenotype.

In the control germination tests, *D. glomerata*, *P. virgatum* and the two *p***. repens* reflected no significant response to treatment, whereas *S. robustus* and *P. amarulum* germinated significantly better after a Clorox treatment and *P. virginica*, *S. alterniflora*, *S. cynosuroides*, and *F. elatior* germinated significantly better without a Clorox treatment. The germinations of *S. alterniflora* showed the clearest negative response to Clorox treatment.
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APPENDIX A': ORIGIN OF PLANT MATERIALS

1. SEED

a. *Peltandra virginica*: obtained from the Game Food Nurseries, P.O. Box 2371, Oshkosh, Wisconsin 54901. Received in May 1975 and stored as received at 3°C until used.

b. *Scirpus robustus*: Harvested in Maryland during November 1974 and stored dry at 3°C until used.

c. *Spartina alterniflora*: Harvested in Virginia during September 1974 and stored in tap water at 3°C until used.

d. *Spartina cynosuroides*: Harvested in Virginia during September 1974 and stored in tap water at 3°C until used.

e. *Festuca elatior*: Lot No. 37, 98.02% pure, 85% germination in April 1975, obtained from the Meyer Seed Co., 600 S. Caroline St., Baltimore, Maryland 21231. Received in June 1975 and stored as received at ambient temperatures until used.
f. *Dactylis glomerata*: Lot No. 323, 90% pure, 85% germination in February 1975, obtained from the Meyer Seed Co., 600 S. Caroline St., Baltimore, Maryland 21231. Received in June 1975, and stored as received at ambient temperatures until used.

g. *Panicum amarulum*: Lot No. NJ-49, 99.83% pure, 72% germination in October obtained from the Cape May Plant Materials Center, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Soil Conservation Service, Box 236A, R.D. No. 1, Cape May Court House, New Jersey 08210. Received in June 1975 and stored as received at ambient temperatures until used.

h. *Panicum virgatum* var. Blackwell: Lot No. 22D-7203, 99.05% pure, 80% germination in January 1975, Kentucky grown, obtained from the Stanford Seed Co., P.O. Box 230, Plymouth Meeting, Pennsylvania 19462. Received in June 1975 and stored as received at ambient temperatures until used.

i. *Trifolium repens*: Lot No. 13566, 99.80% pure, 87% germination in February 1975, obtained from the Meyer Seed Co., 600 S. Caroline St., Baltimore,
Maryland 21231. Received in June 1975 and stored as received at ambient temperatures until used.

2. SPRIGS

All bare root plant materials used in the blocks of plots were derived from seeds a - d.
Dear Paul:

With reference to our telecon on 2 September, I am transmitting in writing my evaluation of the status of the artificial vegetative establishment at the James River site based on my 29 August visit.

I. DIKE

   (1) Intertidal area. The transplants of Spartina alterniflora and Scirpus americanus appeared well established. Both species of transplants had flowered or were in the process of flowering. Vegetative spread of the S. americanus was so extensive that the original transplant sites were indistinguishable. The mortality percentage of transplants was essentially zero.

   (2) Supratidal areas. Results of seeding with the upland and Panicum species appeared successful in view of the poor soil texture of the dike sediments and the lack of rainfall during the month following seeding.

       (a) Seedlings of the Panicum species on the dike exterior were developing best along the eastern and southern sides. Seedlings were being washed out along the other two sides; such loss, however, is not expected to be serious.

       (b) In areas of best seedling development, the Tall Fescue and Orchard Grass were flowering.

       (c) The establishment of Ladina Clover appeared best on the interior lowest elevations of the dike where the Fescue and/or Orchard Grass density was lowest.
(d) Seedling density and development of the upland species generally was poorest at the highest and driest sections of the dike. Ungerminated seeds were found within the sediments throughout these areas.

(e) Seedlings at the highest elevations appeared water- and/or heat-stressed (aerial plant parts were stunted and reduced in surface areas relative to those in areas of best plant development); however, similar appearing seedlings were seen at lower elevations where moisture was apparent at the surface to one cm below the surface of the sediment. Such seedlings are probably suffering from nutrient deficiency.

The chlorophyll content of the seedlings of the upland species was variable with occasional bands and other small areas of high pigment content (and corresponding exceptional plant development) reflecting, it is thought, the surges of fertilizer experienced when the mechanical broadcaster was started from a full stop position.

With cooler and wetter weather probably forthcoming, it is advised that a second fertilization of the dike be accomplished in late September. The existing density of both ungerminated seeds and poorly developing seedlings in the most sparse areas of the dike are considered sufficient to accomplish vegetative stability of the associated sediments if seedling development can be maximized for the balance of the growing season (30 November). It is felt that any additional seeding at this point would only complicate evaluation of the results of the initial seeding.

II. CONFINED DREDGED MATERIAL

(1) Upland area at northeast corner of the dike. The development of the upland mixture of seedlings throughout this area appeared intermediate between the best and poorest areas of the dike. Fertilization would probably be beneficial.

III. PLOTS IN UNCONFINED DREDGED MATERIAL

(1) All of the plots at and about MHW (about 20% of the total) have experienced extensive invasion and establishment by several plant species (refer to Dr. Jones) to such an extent to have an undeterminable impact on the results of seeding and sprigging of these plots.
(2) Extensive clipping of plants in the sprigged plots is taking place, possibly by gulls and marshbirds. The Peltandra virginica was noted to have been most recently damaged by extensive clipping; however, animal clipping has had an impact on all sprigged plots.

(3) Sediment excavations around the plant culms were noted to be extensive in most of the sprigged plots within the intertidal zone. These excavations were probably accomplished while the sediments were inundated, as evidenced by the smooth and symmetrical mounding of sediments about the excavation site. The excavations often extended to and below the root zone of the sprigged plants, leading either to a reduction of the physical support of the plant or to the plant being washed out entirely. There was no evidence that such excavations were caused by plant feeding, as the partially excavated or the completely washed out plants appeared intact. The entire intertidal area of the unconfined dredged materials, including the area of the plots, was extensively pockmarked. It is suspected that these excavations are being accomplished by bottom-feeding fish and that the plants in the sprigged plots somehow induce these fish to conduct excavations about the specific plant sites.

(4) On 29 August, only S. alterniflora appeared to be developing satisfactorily in the seeded plots. On 26 July, it was noted that both S. alterniflora and P. virginica seeds were germinating in abundance throughout the seeded plots; consequently, the latter seedlings have either been washed out or removed by animals during the following month.

I would like to visit the James River Site again at the end of September and tentatively plan a trip on 29 September. Low tide that day is at 3:30 PM. Please let me know if you could meet me there on that day. Also, if you would like is to accomplish a second fertilization of the dike, we would need a purchase order or some other authorization. Such a fertilization could be accomplished on the 29th of September.

Sincerely yours,

Edgar W. Garbisch
Mr. E. Paul Peloquin  
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers  
Waterways Experiment Station  
P.O. Box 631  
Vicksburg, Mississippi 39180

30 October 1975

Dear Paul:

I am transmitting herewith my evaluation of the status of the artificial vegetative establishment at the James River Site based on my 16 October 1975 site inspection.

I. DIKE

(1) Intertidal Area. All transplants were well established and further developed relative to 29 August site inspection. The aerial parts of the Scirpus americanus transplants were dying back, whereas those of the S. alterniflora transplants were still partly green and producing. Essentially, all of the 1700 pots of S. alterniflora which were transplanted at the southeast section of the dike on 14 August 1975 had been coarsely chewed to ca. 15 cm. of the substrate surface, apparently by Canada geese. The older transplants around the balance of the dike appeared relatively undisturbed by animals; however, specific root excavations of S. americanus transplants were observed and photographed.

(2) Supratidal Areas. The supratidal areas of the dike were seeded during the last week in July. August was a particularly dry month and only moderate plant development was observed on 29 August (reported in letter dated 4 September). The Richmond area experienced above normal rains during September, primarily as a consequence of tropical storm Eloise which moved inland from the Gulf of Mexico on 26 September. On 29 September, the supratidal areas of the dike were fertilized according to the enclosed plan.
(a) Seedlings of Panicum species dominated the vegetative cover throughout the exterior and top. The plants varied from 10 to 60 cm. in height. Density of cover was generally high except for several small areas along the south and northwest sides of the dike and for an approximate two-foot band around the dike extending upward from the uppermost row of transplants within the tidal zone. Throughout this band, essentially all of the Panicum spp. had washed out. New seedlings, presumably Fescue and/or Orchard Grass were beginning to emerge throughout the upland dike area. Their frequent appearance in rows indicated that these seedlings are arising from cultivated seeds rather than from seeds washed in and trapped by the existing vegetation. No flowering Panicum, Fescue, Orchard Grass, or Ladina Clover seedlings were observed. What was reported on 4 September as flowering Fescue and Orchard Grass is in error. The vegetation in many of the 50-foot unfertilized sections showed up as being markedly chlorotic as compared with that in the fertilized sections. This situation is well demonstrated by color slides. Extensive grazing of the seeded vegetation (nearly to the sediment surface) was observed along the northeast section of the dike. Goose feces and footprints were observed throughout this area. Several excavations of the upland vegetation were observed along the north side of the dike. Excavation depths were less than 5 cm. and no animal footprints were detected.

(b) As reported in Section 2(c) of my letter dated 4 September 1975, Ladina Clover continues to dominate the vegetative composition throughout the interior lowest elevations of the south, west, and north sides of the dike. Clover development throughout the east end (highest in elevation) of the dike was minor.

II. CONFINED DREDGED MATERIAL

The development of the upland mixture of seedlings throughout this area continued (see letter dated 4 September 1975) to appear intermediate between the best and poorest areas of the dike. This area was not fertilized on 29 September.
III. UNCONFINED DREDGED MATERIAL

(1) Plots. Essentially, all of the plots at and below MHW (ca. 80% of total) have been completely denuded. Whereas tidal waters were previously well drained from these plots at low tide, on 16 October several of the plots were large bowls of standing water resulting from the extensive plant excavations within. The craters of recent (probably on day of visit) plant site excavations (probably by Canada geese) were literally covered with the small prints of shorebirds. Goose and duck footprints and droppings were abundant throughout the area.

The planted vegetation in the plots above MHW (ca. 20% of total) was relatively undisturbed; however, the area has been substantially invaded by foreign vegetation as described in my letter of 4 September.

(2) Area Seeded With Intertidal Seed Mixture. On 29 August 1975, the uppermost one-third of this area contained an abundance of primarily well developing Spartina alterniflora seedlings. The seedlings which were noted earlier (31 July) throughout the lowermost two-thirds of this area are presumed to have subsequently washed out. On 16 October the uppermost one-third of this area was totally eaten out. Craters from recent excavations were abundant and plant remains (root hairs and culms) were deposited in abundance along the nearby drift line. With the exception of the presence of S. alterniflora and S. cynosuroides seedlings throughout the highest elevations of the area, it can be concluded that animal (probably Canada geese) depredation has denuded the entire area.

IV. RECOMMENDATIONS

On 16 October the Canada geese population in the immediate area of Windmill Point was estimated to be 3,000-5,000. The several acre pond and surrounding area on Mr. Harrison's property was black with geese. Several flocks of ca. 50 geese nearby lighted on the dike during the one hour that I was there. Geese damage to incorporated vegetation is progressing as it has in all of our former marsh sites - i.e., the youngest and least developed vegetation is excavated before the more established vegetation.
In view of this situation and our past experience with geese damages to first-year plantings, I recommend that immediate temporary measures be taken to minimize the population of geese achieving access to the planted tidal areas of the dike exterior. Please contact me if you wish to pursue this matter.

Sincerely,

Edgar W. Garhisch
President
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